

$N = 2$ String as a Topological Conformal TheoryJOAQUIM GOMIS^{*} AND HIROSHI SUZUKI[†]*Uji Research Center, Yukawa Institute for
Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Uji 611, Japan*

ABSTRACT

We prove that critical and subcritical $N = 2$ string theory gives a realization of an $N = 2$ superfield extension of the topological conformal algebra. The essential observation is the vanishing of the background ghost charge. The $N = 2$ superstring introduced by Ademollo *et. al.* [1,2,3,4,5] has the critical dimension 2, there are no transverse degrees of freedom, the physical spectrum contains a finite number of particles, all massless and bosonic. There is a general belief that this is topological quantum theory. In this note we will prove that critical and subcritical $N = 2$ strings are a topological field theories [6,7] in the sense that the reparametrization BRST current algebra gives a realization of an $N = 2$ superfield extension of the topological conformal algebra [8,6]. The key ingredient for this proof is that $N = 2$ string has no total background ghost charge and therefore no ghost number anomaly [9].

^{*} Permanent address: Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 647, E-08028 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain. Electronic address: quim@ebubecm1.bitnet

[†] JSPS Junior Scientist Fellow. Also at Department of Physics, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 724, Japan. Electronic address: suzuki@jpnrfp.bitnet

Let us first recall some basic facts of $N = 2$ string in superfield formalism,* in $N = 2$ superspace is described in terms of bosonic $(z, \bar{z})$ and fermionic $(\theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm)$ coordinates. We can define covariant derivatives

$$D^\pm = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\mp} + \theta^\pm \partial, \quad \bar{D}^\pm = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^\mp} + \bar{\theta}^\pm \bar{\partial}. \quad (1)$$

The action can be written in terms of two superfields $S^\mu(z, \bar{z}, \theta^+, \bar{\theta}^+, \theta^-, \bar{\theta}^-)$ and $S^{\mu*}(z, \bar{z}, \theta^+, \bar{\theta}^+, \theta^-, \bar{\theta}^-)$ satisfying two constraints

$$D^- S^\mu = \bar{D}^- S^\mu = 0 \quad (2)$$

and

$$D^+ S^{\mu*} = \bar{D}^+ S^{\mu*} = 0. \quad (3)$$

The action is [1]

$$A = \int dz d\bar{z} \int d\theta^+ d\bar{\theta}^+ d\theta^- d\bar{\theta}^- S^{\mu*} S^\mu. \quad (4)$$

The solution of the equation of motions

$$D^+ \bar{D}^+ S^\mu = 0, \quad \bar{D}^- D^- S^{\mu*} = 0 \quad (5)$$

can be written as

$$S^\mu = S_1^\mu + S_2^\mu \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D^- S_1^\mu &= \bar{D}^- S_1^\mu = \bar{D}^+ S_1^\mu = 0, \\ D^- S_2^\mu &= \bar{D}^- S_2^\mu = D^+ S_2^\mu = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

A real superfield X^μ is constructed via

$$X^\mu(z, \theta^+, \theta^-) = S_1^\mu(z + \theta^- \theta^+, \theta^-) + S_1^{\mu*}(z + \theta^+ \theta^-, \theta^+). \quad (8)$$

* We follow the notation of ref. [4].

The components of $X^\mu(Z)$ are

$$X^\mu(Z) = X^\mu(z) + \theta^- \psi^{+\mu}(z) + \theta^+ \psi^{-\mu}(z) + i\theta^- \theta^+ \partial Y^\mu(z) \quad (9)$$

where $X^\mu(z)$ and $Y^\mu(z)$ are free bosonic fields and $\psi^{\pm\mu}(z)$ are free fermions.*

We have the following operator product expansion

$$X^\mu(Z_a) X^\nu(Z_b) \sim \eta^{\mu\nu} \ln Z_{ab} \quad (10)$$

where Z_{ab} is

$$Z_{ab} = z_a - z_b - (\theta_a^+ \theta_b^- + \theta_a^- \theta_b^+). \quad (11)$$

$N = 2$ primary conformal superfields $\psi_q^h(Z)$ are characterized by a weight h and a charge q . They have the following OPE with the energy momentum tensor $T(Z)$

$$\begin{aligned} T(Z_a) \psi_q^h(Z_b) &\sim h \frac{\theta_{ab}^- \theta_{ab}^+}{Z_{ab}^2} \psi_q^h(Z_b) - \frac{q}{2Z_{ab}} \psi_q^h(Z_b) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2Z_{ab}} (\theta_{ab}^- D_b^+ - \theta_{ab}^+ D_b^-) \psi_q^h(Z_b) + \frac{\theta_{ab}^- \theta_{ab}^+}{Z_{ab}} \partial_{z_b} \psi_q^h(Z_b) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\theta_{ab}^\pm = \theta_a^\pm - \theta_b^\pm$. The contribution to the energy momentum tensor from X^μ is

$$T^X(Z) = \frac{1}{2} D^- X^\mu D^+ X^\mu(Z). \quad (13)$$

$N = 2$ superstring action is invariant under several local gauge transformations. We will work in the superconformal gauge. Gauge fixing generates a Faddeev–Popov determinant expressible as a superfield action using $N = 2$ superfield ghost C and antighost B

$$\begin{aligned} C &\equiv c + i\theta^+ \gamma^- - i\theta^- \gamma^+ + i\theta^- \theta^+ \xi, \\ B &\equiv -i\eta - i\theta^+ \beta^- - i\theta^- \beta^+ + \theta^- \theta^+ b. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The ghosts c and b are for the τ - σ reparametrization invariances, $\gamma^\pm$ and $\beta^\pm$ are the super ghosts for the two local supersymmetry transformations and ξ and η are the

* We consider only the holomorphic part.

ghosts associated with the local $U(1)$ symmetry. Their Lagrangians are the first order systems with background charge Q [10] and statistics ϵ of $(Q, \epsilon) = (-3, +)$, $(2, -)$ and $(-1, +)$ respectively. Notice that the total background ghost charge vanishes. The ghost action in terms of superfield is given by

$$A_{\text{gh}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2z d\theta^+ d\theta^- B \bar{\partial} C + (\text{c. c.}). \quad (15)$$

The non-zero fundamental operator product expansion for the holomorphic part is

$$C(Z_a)B(Z_b) \sim \frac{\theta_{ab}^- \theta_{ab}^+}{Z_{ab}} \sim B(Z_a)C(Z_b). \quad (16)$$

The ghost energy momentum tensor is

$$T^{\text{gh}}(Z) = \partial(CB)(Z) - \frac{1}{2}D^+CD^-B(Z) - \frac{1}{2}D^-CD^+B(Z). \quad (17)$$

The superfields $B(Z)$ and $C(Z)$ are $q = 0$ conformal fields with $h = +1$ and $h = -1$.

If we consider the total energy momentum tensor

$$T = T^X + T^{\text{gh}} \quad (18)$$

the OPE of T with itself becomes

$$\begin{aligned} T(Z_a)T(Z_b) \sim & \frac{D-2}{4Z_{ab}^2} + \frac{\theta_{ab}^- \theta_{ab}^+}{Z_{ab}^2} T(Z_b) + \frac{1}{2Z_{ab}} (\theta_{ab}^- D_b^+ - \theta_{ab}^+ D_b^-) T(Z_b) \\ & + \frac{\theta_{ab}^- \theta_{ab}^+}{Z_{ab}} \partial T(Z_b) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where D is the dimension of the target space. For $D = 2^*$, T is a $q = 0$, $h = 0$ conformal superfield.

* A first attempt to interpret this theory as a four dimensional $(2, 2)$ theory was done by D'Adda and Lizzi in ref. [2].

The $N = 2$ BRST charge Q_B [3] is

$$Q_B = \oint dZ C \left(T^X + \frac{1}{2} T^{\text{gh}} \right) (Z) \quad (20)$$

where

$$\oint dZ = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \int d\theta^+ d\theta^- \quad (21)$$

and when $D = 2$ it satisfies

$$Q_B^2 = 0 \quad (22)$$

since the total energy momentum tensor has no anomalies. Furthermore one can check that

$$T(Z) = \{Q_B, B(Z)\}. \quad (23)$$

Now we can construct the ghost number current j_{ghost}

$$j_{\text{ghost}}(Z) = -BC(Z). \quad (24)$$

Since the total background ghost charge is zero and this current is not anomalous, the ghost current is a primary field with $q = 0$ and $h = 0$. The previous facts are characteristic of $N = 2$ string, for $N = 0$ and $N = 1$ due to the non-vanishing of the background ghost charge, the ghost current is anomalous and the ghost current is not a primary field [10,11].

Now let us construct the BRST current j_B , we will use a relation

$$j_B(Z) = -\{Q_B, j_{\text{ghost}}(Z)\} \quad (25)$$

in such a way that j_B will be BRST invariant. Explicitly one finds

$$\begin{aligned} j_B(Z) = & C(Z) \left(T^X + \frac{1}{2} T^{\text{gh}} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} D^- [C (D^+ C) B] + \frac{1}{4} D^+ [C (D^- C) B] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where the total derivative pieces^{*} ensure that $j_B(Z)$ is a primary superfield with $q = 0$ and $h = 0$.

At this point we can define the $N = 2$ superfield extension of the topological conformal algebra. The generators are

$$\begin{aligned} T(Z) &\equiv T(Z), \\ G(Z) &\equiv j_B(Z), \\ \bar{G}(Z) &\equiv B(Z), \\ J(Z) &\equiv j_{\text{ghost}}(Z). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The relevant operator product expansions are

$$\begin{aligned} T(Z_a)\Psi(Z_b) &\sim h \frac{\theta_{ab}^-\theta_{ab}^+}{Z_{ab}^2} \Psi(Z_b) + \frac{1}{2Z_{ab}} (\theta_{ab}^- D_b^+ - \theta_{ab}^+ D_b^-) \Psi(Z_b) \\ &\quad + \frac{\theta_{ab}^-\theta_{ab}^+}{Z_{ab}} \partial_{z_b} \Psi(Z_b) \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

for $\Psi = T, G, \bar{G}$, and J with $h = 1, 0, 1$, and 0 respectively and

$$\begin{aligned} G(Z_a)\bar{G}(Z_b) &\sim \frac{1}{2Z_{ab}} (\theta_{ab}^- D_b^+ - \theta_{ab}^+ D_b^-) J(Z_b) + \frac{\theta_{ab}^-\theta_{ab}^+}{Z_{ab}} T(Z_b), \\ G(Z_a)G(Z_b) &\sim 0, \\ \bar{G}(Z_a)\bar{G}(Z_b) &\sim 0, \\ J(Z_a)J(Z_b) &\sim 0, \\ J(Z_a)G(Z_b) &\sim \frac{\theta_{ab}^-\theta_{ab}^+}{Z_{ab}} G(Z_b), \\ J(Z_a)\bar{G}(Z_b) &\sim -\frac{\theta_{ab}^-\theta_{ab}^+}{Z_{ab}} \bar{G}(Z_b). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Summing up we should conclude that the critical $N = 2$ string is a topological field theory in the sense that the reparametrization BRST current algebra gives

* The sign of the last term in eq. (26) is different from the expression of the BRST current in ref. [4], which is primary however not BRST invariant.

a representation of a $N = 2$ superfield extension of the topological conformal algebra.* Actually Ooguri and Vafa [5] have shown an close relation between $N = 2$ string and self-dual gravity and self-dual Yang–Mills, it will be interesting to study the implications of our results from this type of theories.

With respect to $N = 2$ subcritical strings or $N = 2$ super Liouville theory we can also show that these theories are topological. For analyzing these theories one might do some hypothesis about a local ansatz for the Jacobian between a non-trivial measure and the free measure [13]. Or we might construct an effective theory [14] based on anomalous identities associated to the superconformal, the BRST and the ghost number symmetries. In the latter, the renormalization of the coupling constant (see below) is considered as the one-loop order effect of the BRST invariant measure. We will follow the second procedure with the vanishing cosmological constant, generalizing the results of the $N = 0$ and $N = 1$ case [14] to $N = 2$ super Liouville theory. To construct the relevant operators for the effective theory [15] we should introduce a Liouville superfield

$$\Phi(Z) = \phi(z) + \theta^- \phi^+(z) + \theta^+ \phi^-(z) + i\theta^- \theta^+ \partial \rho(z) \quad (30)$$

with the operator product

$$\Phi(Z_a)\Phi(Z_b) = \ln Z_{ab}. \quad (31)$$

The form of the energy momentum tensor is

$$T = T^X + T^{\text{gh}} + T^{\text{Liouville}} \quad (32)$$

where T^X and T^{gh} are given by eqs. (13) and (17). To construct $T^{\text{Liouville}}$ we make an observation that apart from an inhomogenous piece, Liouville superfield

* Our algebra in eqs. (28) and (29), in terms of the component fields, is not same as the twisted $N = 4$ superconformal algebra by Nojiri [12].

behaves as X^μ . Then we have

$$T^{\text{Liouville}} = \frac{1}{2} D^- \Phi D^+ \Phi + \kappa \partial \Phi \quad (33)$$

where κ is the renormalized coupling constant of the effective theory and algebraically it can be calculated imposing that the total energy momentum tensor T behaves as a superfield with $q = 0$ and $h = 0$. This condition implies

$$\kappa^2 = \frac{1-D}{4}. \quad (34)$$

For the ghost number current as we have described before there is no ghost number anomaly, thus the current is given by

$$j_{\text{ghost}}(Z) = -BC(Z) \quad (35)$$

as for the critical string case, j_{ghost} is a superfield with $q = 0$ and $h = 0$.

The BRST charge associated to the BRST symmetry is given by

$$Q_B = \int DZ C \left(T^X + T^{\text{Liouville}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{\text{gh}} \right) \quad (36)$$

and it satisfies $Q_B^2 = 0$ for any D . Furthermore one can also check

$$T = \{Q_{\text{BRST}}, B\}. \quad (37)$$

Then we determine the total divergent pieces of the BRST current by using eq. (25). Explicitly one finds

$$\begin{aligned} j_B(Z) = C(Z) & \left(T^X + T^{\text{Liouville}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{\text{gh}} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} D^- [C (D^+ C) B] + \frac{1}{4} D^+ [C (D^- C) B] \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where the total derivative pieces ensures that $j_B(Z)$ is a primary $N = 2$ superfield with $q = 0$ and $h = 0$.

At this point it is interesting to comment about the differences of the analogous calculation for the $N = 0$ and $N = 1$ cases [14]. The two main differences with respect to those cases are i) the appearance of a Liouville term in the ghost number current ii) the appearance of a divergent terms with a Liouville field in the expression of BRST current. The presence of these terms implies that the previous theories are not topological for arbitrary values of the dimension [16]. The main reason for that is the anomalous behavior of the ghost number current.

As in the critical string case T , j_B , j_{gh} and B give a representation of the $N = 2$ superfield extension of the topological conformal algebra eqs. (28) and (29). It is also useful to see how the critical string can be considered as a subcritical string in dimension 1 plus the Liouville superfield, in fact for this situation, $\kappa = 0$ and all the operators of the effective theory coincide with the ones of the critical string, since in this case there is no restriction for the possible values of D . Distler, Hlousek and Kawai [13] notice already that their local ansatz for the Jacobian works for every D . It will be interesting to find the dimension D in which one can establish the equivalence with the recent proposed $N = 2$ topological supergravity [17,18,19] with gauge group $\text{Osp}(2|2)$.

In summary in this note we have shown that the $N = 2$ string theory is a topological field theory in any dimension D in the sense that the reparametrization BRST current algebra realizes an $N = 2$ superfield extension of the topological conformal algebra. This result is peculiar to the $N = 2$ string and it is due to the fact that the background charge vanishes and therefore there is no ghost number anomaly.

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge Prof. K. Fujikawa for discussions and a critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank Prof. M. Ninomiya for a reading of the manuscript. One of us (J. G.) would like to thank Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality.

REFERENCES

1. M. Ademollo, L. Brink, A. D’Adda, R. D’Auria, E. Napolitano, S. Sciuto, E. Del Giudice, P. Di Vecchia, S. Ferrara, F. Gliozzi, R. Musto and R. Pettorino, *Phys. Lett.* **B62** (1976) 105;
M. Ademollo, L. Brink, A. D’Adda, R. D’Auria, E. Napolitano, S. Sciuto, E. Del Giudice, P. Di Vecchia, S. Ferrara, F. Gliozzi, R. Musto, R. Pettorino and J. H. Schwarz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B111** (1976) 77.
2. D. J. Bruth, D. B. Fairle and R. G. Yates, *Nucl. Phys.* **108** (1976) 310;
L. Brink and J. H. Schwarz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B121** (1977) 285;
E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, *Phys. Lett.* **B106** (1981) 63;
P. Bouwknegt and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **3** (1986) 207;
A. D’Adda and F. Lizzi, *Phys. Lett.* **B191** (1987) 85;
S. D. Mathur and S. Mukhi, *Nucl. Phys.* **B302** (1988) 130;
N. Ohta and S. Osabe, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 1641;
M. Corvi, V. A. Kostelecký and P. Moxhay, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 1611.
3. A. Bilal, *Phys. Lett.* **B180** (1986) 255;
A. R. Bogojevic and Z. Hlousek, *Phys. Lett.* **B179** (1986) 69;
S. D. Mathur and S. Mukhi, *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 465.
4. J. Gomis, *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 408.
5. H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B361** (1991) 469.
6. E. Witten, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **117** (1988) 353.
7. E. Witten, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118** (1988) 411; *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1990) 281.
8. T. Eguchi and S.-K. Yang, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A5** (1990) 1693.
9. K. Fujikawa, *Phys. Rev.* **D25** (1982) 2584.
10. D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B271** (1986) 93.

- 11. S. P. Martin, *Phys. Lett.* **B191** (1987) 81.
- 12. S. Nojiri, *Phys. Lett.* **B262** (1991) 419; *Phys. Lett.* **B264** (1991) 57.
- 13. J. Distler, Z. Hlousek and H. Kawai, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A5** (1990) 391;
I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas and C. Kounnas, *Phys. Lett.* **B242** (1990) 185.
- 14. K. Fujikawa, T. Inagaki and H. Suzuki, *Phys. Lett.* **B213** (1988) 279; *Nucl. Phys.* **B332** (1990) 499.
- 15. J. Gomis and H. Suzuki, to appear.
- 16. K. Fujikawa and H. Suzuki, *Nucl. Phys.* **B361** (1991) 539.
- 17. K. Li, *Nucl. Phys.* **B346** (1990) 329.
- 18. J. Gomis and J. Roca, *Phys. Lett.* **B268** (1991) 197.
- 19. S. Govindarajan, P. Nelson and S.-J. Rey, *Nucl. Phys.* **B365** (1991) 633.